

ಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾವಿಜನ್ ಇದೆ. ಅದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮುಂದುವರಿದಿದೆ. ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಅಧಿಕಾರಸ್ಥರು ಕಾನೂನಿಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ನನ್ನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಲು ನಾಯುಡು.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಕೆಲವು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ: ಕಾನೂನು ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಖುಟ್ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಇಷ್ಟು ಮಾಪುತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹೆಚ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ಕಾನೂನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ, ಅಲ್ಲದೇ ಕಾನೂನು ಗಳನ್ನು ಪುನಃ ಮೇಲಿಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ತಿಳಿಸುವ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಇದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೇ ಈ ಸದನದ ಮುಖಾಂತರವೂ ತಿಳಿಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ಇಚ್ಛೆಪಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ಹನುಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಕಾಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಣ್ಣ ನಣ್ಣದಾಗಿ ರಾಂಟಾನ ಎಂದು ಗಿಡಗಳು ಬೆಳೆಯುತ್ತವೆ. ಆ ಗಿಡಗಳನ್ನು ಅಕ್ಕತಕ್ಕದ ರೈತರು ಸೌದೆಗಾಗಿ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ರೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಏನಾದರೂ ರೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹೆಚ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ರೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ರೂರ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮನುಷ್ಯನಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಷ್ಟನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ರೂರ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾವಿಜನ್ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಹನುಮೇಗೌಡರು ಹೇಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಫಾರಿಸ್ ಪ್ರಾಡ್ಯೂಸ್ ಅಲ್ಲ, ಅದು ವೀಡ್ಸ್ ಎಂದು ಅರ್ಥ ಮಾಡಬಹುದೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹೆಚ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದಷ್ಟು ನಾವು ಸಣ್ಣ ಸಣ್ಣ ಕಂಟ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ರಿಸರ್ವ್ ಫಾರಿಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ರಿಸರ್ವ್ ಫಾರಿಸ್ ಉಳಿದು ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

Misappropriation in Seva Sahakari Society of Santibastwad

127. SRI B. B. SAYANAK (Belgaum).—Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:—

(a) whether Government is aware of the misappropriation of funds in the Seva Sahakari Society of Santibastwad in Belgaum taluk ;

(b) if so, the amount misappropriated ;

(c) whether this society has published its audit report for the year 1974-75 ; if not, the reasons for the same ;

(d) the action taken by the Government to recover the amount so misappropriated ?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH (Minister for Co-operation).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Rs. 19,963-55.

(c) No. as the audit report was received by the society only in the month of January 1977.

(d) Arbitration case has been filed. Also the properties of the delinquent have been attached before award.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—I would like to point out a spelling mistake in the answer given to (d) of my question. 'delinquent' should be corrected as 'delinquent'.

May I know when the audit report for the year 1974-75 is received?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—The audit report in respect of Santibastwad Seva Sahakari Society is received in the month of January, 1977.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—What is the reason for the delay?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—The audit has been done and the report is received in the month of January, 1977.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—Why is it delayed?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—As the hon'ble member is aware, there has been some delays in respect of audits because of insufficient staff and other things.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—The Hon'ble Minister has stated in answer to (d) that the arbitration case has been filed. When the case was filed and against how many persons?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—The audit report is received on 23-1-1977 and immediately, action has been taken and I would like to bring to the notice of this House and to the Hon'ble Member that a criminal case is also filed, in addition to arbitration case.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—I want to know against how many persons the cases have been filed?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—The audit report reveals that the Secretary is involved in this case. Arbitration case is filed against the Secretary.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—There is much of delay in the publication of the audit reports, i.e., nearly three years. Is it not a violation of law and action have you taken?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—The audit of 1974-75 usually will be audited in the year 1975-76. There is not much delay also. In fact the co-operative year commences from 1st July to end of June i.e., 30th June of the next year. It is not from 1st April. After all there is not much delay here.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—Rs. 19,963-55 is misappropriated. Now this society is a defunct one, and people are not getting loans from the society.

SRI K. H. RANGANATH—It is a fact that round about about Rs. 58,702 is overdue from the members who have taken loans and have not paid and that is why the Society is not running properly and further the audit report reveals that the Secretary has misappropriated the amount to the tune of Rs. 19,963-55.

Supply of drinking water in Yellamma Budihal Village

163. **SRI M. M. SAJJAN (Muddebihal)**—Will the Minister for Rural Development and Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:—

(a) whether Government is aware that according to geologist drinking water is not available in the village of Yellamma-Budihal in Muddebihal Taluk;

(b) whether Government is aware the bore-wells sunk in those villages during the last 5 years have failed;

(c) whether Government is aware of the representations made by the public and the Legislature for supply of drinking water to this village through pipes from Krishna River?

SRI K. PRABHAKAR (Minister for Rural Development and Panchayat Raj).—

(a) No.

(b) No.

(c) Yes.

SRI M. M. SAJJAN—May I know from the Hon. Minister the dates or years of the representations received by the Government in respect of supply of drinking water to Budihal village.

†**SRI K. PRABHAKAR.**—Such dates are not readily available.

SRI M. M. SAJJAN.—May I know what action has been taken by the Government to supply drinking water to Yellamma-Budihal Village in my constituency. Drinking water is a basic need of the villagers.

SRI K. PRABHAKAR—Bore-wells have been done and they are in use. There is water in the bore-wells.

SRI M. M. SAJJAN.—I am sorry to express that the Government has replied negatively to my questions (a) and (b). The fact is that there is no water according to the report of the Geologists of the Bijapur District. The people have been suffering from the last decade in that village. May I request the Government to arrange for piped supply of water to this village as a special case.